MCHE 485: Mechanical Vibrations Spring 2020 – System Identification Review

Assigned: Sunday, April 26, 2020

Due: Will not be collected, but solutions will be posted at 5pm on Wednesday, April 29

Assignment: Answer the two attached problems, making sure to clearly indicate and support your answers.

Submission: N/A

Table 1.1: Zero Crossings

Zero Crossing

Time (s)

0.2233

0.4567

0.7233

0.9900

1.2567

1.4900 1.8233

1.9900

2.4567

2.5233

Problem 1

You've been given the plot in Figure 1.1 and the data in Table 1.1 and asked to determine some system properties.

- a. Estimate the natural frequency and damping ratio.
- b. Does the system appear to be linear? Explain why or why not, supporting your answer with data.
- c. An arrogant graduate student insists that the frequency response in Figure 1.2 is from the same system as the response in Figure 1.1. Is this student right? If not, explain why the two responses are not from the same system. Given this student's attitude, it will take an argument supported by data to convince him. (If you believe the student is correct, also explain why you believe so.)



Figure 1.2: Graduate Student Frequency Response Data



Zava Creasing	Frequency Estimate			
Time	Negative-Slope Crossings	Positive-Slope Crossings		
0.2233				
0.4567	2.00			
0.7233		1.88		
0.9900	1.87			
1.2567		2.00		
1.4900	1.76			
1.8233		2.00		
1.9900				
Over Entire Response	1.88	1.96		

Estimating Frequency from Zero Crossings

Estimate frequency by measuring the period via zero crossings. Be sure to count full periods (every other crossing). Measuring over multiple periods is usually advised.

Here, the estimated frequency is ~1.92 Hz.

Use log. dec. to estimate damping ratio.

Time (s)	Max Amp	σ - adjacent peaks	ζ - adjacent peaks	σ - Entire Response	ζ - Entire Response
0.11	37.54				
0.61	24.73	0.4174	0.0666		
1.11	17.68	0.3356	0.0535		
1.64	12.58	0.3407	0.0543		
2.17	5.32	0.8605	0.1383	0.4886	0.0780
		Excluding last point		0.3646	0.0581

Log Decrement looking at positive amplitude peaks

Log Decrement looking at negative amplitude peaks

Time (s)	Min Amp	 σ - adjacent peaks 	ζ - adjacent peaks	σ - Entire Response	ζ - Entire Response
0.34	-21.76				
0.87	-14.33	0.4182	0.0667		
1.37	-9.51	0.4096	0.0653		
1.87	-3.79	0.9188	0.1478	0.5822	0.0931
		Excluding last point		0.4139	0.0660

For this response, ignoring the last peaks gives the best estimate of damping. It is $\int \approx 0.06$.

Problem 1 (cont.)

- b) Looking at the data on the previous page, the damping ratio remains fairly constant between cycles, with the exception of the last peak. This suggests linear, viscous damping. The estimated frequency is also relatively constant between cycles, suggesting linearity as well. Given this, the system can be said to be linear in the range of this response.
- C) Looking at the location of the response peak, the natural frequency appears to be close to the estimated value. However, the damping ratio in the frequency response plot appears to much greater than 0.06. We can estimate the damping ratio from this frequency response by:
 - comparing peak and static response amplitudes



• using 1/2 power points

Figure 4.2: Graduate Student Frequency Response Data

If we use the half-power points:



Both these estimates are much higher than the damping ratio for the system in the free resp.

Aside: The actual damping used to generate this plot was $\zeta = 0.3$.

Problem 2

You've been given the plot in Figure 2.1 and the data in Table 2.1 and asked to determine some system properties.

- a. Estimate the natural frequency and damping ratio.
- b. Does the system appear to be linear? Explain why or why not, supporting your answer with data.
- c. Your boss insists that you model the system according to Figure 2.2. Is this a wise choice? Explain why or why not, citing the properties of the model and the response you were given as support. *Hint:* Writing the equations of motion for this system should provide some insight and support for this answer.



ole 2.1: Zero Cross	ings
Zero Crossing	
Times (s)	
0.4705	
2.4324	
4.5145	
6.4364	
8.5586	
10.4204	
12.6226	
14.3644	
16.7267	
18.2883	

Figure 2.1: Response Data



Figure 2.2: Your Boss's Idea for a Model

Problem 2

C) Use the zero crossings to estimate frequency

Zoro Crossing	Frequency Estimate (Hz)			
Time	Positive-Slope Crossings	Negative-Slope Crossings		
0.4705				
2.4324	0.2473			
4.5145		0.2497		
6.4364	0.2473			
8.5586		0.2510		
10.4204	0.2461			
12.6226		0.2536		
14.3644	0.2437			
16.7267		0.2548		
18.2883				
Over Entire Response	0.2461	0.2523		

Use log dec to estimate damping

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{N} ln \left(\frac{x(0)}{x(Nt_p)} \right) \longrightarrow \quad \zeta = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{4\pi^2 + \sigma^2}}$$

where N is the number of periods between peaks

•

From positive peaks

Time (s)	Max Amp	σ - adjacent peaks	ζ - adjacent peaks	σ - Entire Response	ζ - Entire Response
0.00	6.00				
3.40	5.10	0.1625	0.0259		
7.40	2.60	0.6737	0.1079		
11.40	1.30	0.6931	0.1110		
15.10	0.60	0.7732	0.1240	0.5756	0.0920

From negative peaks

Time (s)	Min Amp	σ - adjacent peaks	ζ - adjacent peaks	σ - Entire Response	ζ - Entire Response
1.40	-7.60				
5.40	-4.20	0.5931	0.0948		
9.40	-2.30	0.6022	0.0963		
13.50	-1.40	0.4964	0.0793		
17.50	-0.80	0.5596	0.0894	0.5628	0.0899

Problem 2 (cont.)

From these, astimate wn=0.25Hz on E=0.09

Note: The actual freq. used to generate the response was 0.25Hz. The actual damping ratio was 0.10. There was simply noise in the form of a second sine wave added.

b) The system does appear to be fairly linear. The frequency and damping ratios calculated over several different periods are fairly constant.

However, the system may not be "purely" linear, or may not be a single DOF system, as the response is not a pure sinusoid.

c) Your boss's suggestion is a good one. The pendulum system has one dominant mode, like the response. It also has a rotational damper that will cause its response to decay to zero.