

Concept Generation and Evaluation MCHE 470 – Fall 2013

Dr. Joshua Vaughan

Rougeou 225

joshua.vaughan@lousiana.edu

@Doc_Vaughan

Morphological Charts





Alternative Concepts



- All must satisfy same
 - Customer Req.
 - Specifications
 - Functions
- Want 5+ *unique* designs that are all great (Such that choosing the *final* one is difficult)

How should we choose?

The Problem Understanding Form (

	Strong = 9 Medium = 3 Weak = 1		Engineering Characteristics				stics
		5				Δ	
	Customer Requirements						
						Δ	
				Δ			
		1			Δ		Δ
4	Absolute Importance		132	92	34	23	73
	Relative Importance		0.37	0.26	0.10	0.06	0.21







Choose a "benchmark" product as your datum



- Fill in your concepts and compare to datum
- + = better, = worse, S = same



- Fill in your concepts and compare to datum
- + = better, = worse, S = same



- Fill in your concepts and compare to datum
- + = better, = worse, S = same



- Fill in your concepts and compare to datum
- + = better, = worse, S = same



- Fill in your concepts and compare to datum
- + = better, = worse, S = same



- Fill in your concepts and compare to datum
- + = better, = worse, S = same



1st-Level Eval Matrix Weaknesses?

- No consideration of importance
- No indication of magnitude of better/worse





 Give numerical value to how well a Customer Req. is satisfied





 Give numerical value to how well a Customer Req. is satisfied

Evaluation Scales



Pts	Meaning
0	Unsatisfactory
1	Just Tolerable
2	Adequate
3	Good
4	Very Good (Ideal)

Pts	Meaning
0	Unsatisfactory
1	Inadequate
2	Weak
3	Tolerable
4	Adequate
5	Satisfactory
6	Good, but drawbacks
7	Good
8	Very Good
9	Exceeds Req.
10	Ideal Solution







	3	3	4	2	2
	0	3	4	2	1
Customer Requirements	1	1	1	4	3
	2	2	2	3	4
	2	4	1	0	1



	3	3	4	2	2
	0	3	4	2	1
Customer Requirements	1	1	1	4	3
	2	2	2	3	4
	2	4	1	0	1
Absolute Total	8	13	12	11	11





		000			
	3	3	4	2	2
	0	3	4	2	1
Customer Requirements	1	1	1	4	3
	2	2	2	3	4
	2	4	1	0	1
Absolute Total	8	13	12	11	11
Relative Total	0.40	0.65	0.60	0.55	0.55

2nd-Level Eval Matrix Weaknesses?



No consideration of importance





Evaluation Scales



Pts	Meaning
0	Unsatisfactory
1	Just Tolerable
2	Adequate
3	Good
4	Very Good (Ideal)

Pts	Meaning
0	Unsatisfactory
1	Inadequate
2	Weak
3	Tolerable
4	Adequate
5	Satisfactory
6	Good, but drawbacks
7	Good
8	Very Good
9	Exceeds Req.
10	Ideal Solution





Absolute Total

Absolute Total = \sum_{col} (Design Performance × Customer Importance)

• Relative Total Relative Total = $\frac{\text{Absolute Total}}{\text{Max. Possible}}$



			0 0 4			
	5	7	6	9	5	4
	6	0	7	10	5	2
Customer Requirements	9	3	2	3	10	8
	2	5	6	5	8	0
	1	6	9	2	0	3
Absolute Total		78	111	134	161	107



	5	7	6	9	5	4
	6	0	7	10	5	2
Customer Requirements	9	3	2	3	10	8
	2	5	6	5	8	0
	1	6	9	2	0	3
Absolute Total		78	111	134	161	107
Relative Total		0.34	0.48	0.58	0.70	0.47

Remember that...



- Much of the utility of these is in having to think objectively about the designs to rate them
- A design is the not the best because it got the highest score. It got the highest score because it's the best.
- Like all the tools, these are "living" documents
 - Can identify weaknesses in otherwise good designs
 - Promotes "cross pollination" of ideas
 - ITERATE!